

Spring 2-28-2015

Engineering The Future: A Summer Academy for Underrepresented Students

Eric L. Mann

Hope College, mann@hope.edu

Susan Ipri Brown

browns@hope.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.hope.edu/faculty_presentations



Part of the [Engineering Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Repository citation: Mann, Eric L. and Ipri Brown, Susan, "Engineering The Future: A Summer Academy for Underrepresented Students" (2015). *Faculty Presentations*. Paper 177.

http://digitalcommons.hope.edu/faculty_presentations/177

Spring February 28, 2015.

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons @ Hope College. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Presentations by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ Hope College. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@hope.edu.

Engineering the Future: A Summer Academy for Underrepresented Students



Before we begin: Find a couple of friends (or make a few new ones) and pick up a zip lock bag of supplies

Your task: To build the tallest free-standing structure out of 20 sticks of spaghetti, one yard of tape, one yard of string and a marshmallow. The marshmallow has to be on top.



Engineering the Future: A Summer Academy for Underrepresented Students



Eric Mann mann@hope.edu
Asst. Professor Mathematics Education
Susan Ipri Brown browns@hope.edu
Director, Center for STEM Inquiry



Partnerships and Schools

- Hope College Natural and Applied Sciences and Social Sciences Divisions
- Muskegon Area Intermediate School District Math and Science Center
- Muskegon Heights Public School Academies
- Holland New Tech High School

Funding

- Michigan Space Grant Consortium
- Hope College
 - Natural & Applied Sciences and Social Sciences Divisions
 - Center for STEM Inquiry (Howard Hughes Medical Institute Grant)



The Marshmallow Challenge

- Who Consistently Performs Poorly?
 - Recent Business School Graduates
- Who Consistently Performs Well?
 - Recent Kindergarten School Graduates
- Why?
 - Business students tend to strive for the one best solution and only after the structure is built do they see if it will hold a marshmallow
 - Kindergarten Students – engage in the natural design process; smaller steps, testing materials and seeing what works as they plan and build prototypes arriving at a solution – **an engineering approach**

The Marshmallow Challenge Website with TED Talk Video is at <http://marshmallowchallenge.com/Welcome.html>



Engineering? but I teach Science!

Table 2. Framework for comparison review of the NGSS and MSS Grade Level Content Expectations for Grades K-7

NGSS	MSS
Scientific and Engineering Practices	Science Processes
Asking questions and defining problems Developing and using models Planning and carrying out investigations Analyzing and interpreting data Using mathematics and computational thinking Constructing explanations and designing solutions Engaging in argument from evidence Obtaining, evaluating, and communicating information	Inquiry Process Inquiry Analysis and Communication Reflection, and Social Implications
Crosscutting Concept	Disciplines
Patterns Cause and effect Scale, proportion, and quantity Systems and system models Energy and matter Structure and function Stability and change	Physical Science Force and Motion Energy Properties of Matter Life Science: Organization of Living Things Heredity Evolution Ecosystems
Disciplinary Core Ideas	Disciplines (all of the above)
Physical Sciences Life Sciences Earth and Space Sciences	Physical Science Life Science Earth Science
Disciplinary Core Ideas	Science Processes
Engineering, Technology and Application of Science	Inquiry Reflection, and Social Implications

A Content Comparison Analysis of the Next Generation Science Standards and the Michigan Science Standards (Ziker, 2014, p. 9).



Science and Engineering Practices in the NGSS

1. Asking questions (for science) and defining problems (for engineering)
2. Developing and using models
3. Planning and carrying out investigations
4. Analyzing and interpreting data
5. Using mathematics and computational thinking
6. Constructing explanations (for science) and designing solutions (for engineering)
7. Engaging in argument from evidence
8. Obtaining, evaluating, and communicating information

Table 2. Framework for comparison review of the NGSS and MSS Grade Level Content Expectations for Grades K-7

NGSS	MSS
Scientific and Engineering Practices	Science Processes
Asking questions and defining problems Developing and using models Planning and carrying out investigations Analyzing and interpreting data Using mathematics and computational thinking Constructing explanations and designing solutions Engaging in argument from evidence Obtaining, evaluating, and communicating information	Inquiry Process Inquiry Analysis and Communication Reflection, and Social Implications
Crosscutting Concept	Disciplines
Patterns Cause and effect Scale, proportion, and quantity Systems and system models Energy and matter Structure and function Stability and change	Physical Science Force and Motion Energy Properties of Matter Life Science: Organization of Living Things Heredity Evolution Ecosystems
Disciplinary Core Ideas	Disciplines (all of the above)
Physical Sciences Life Sciences Earth and Space Sciences	Physical Science Life Science Earth Science
Disciplinary Core Ideas	Science Processes
Engineering, Technology and Application of Science	Inquiry Reflection, and Social Implications



Real World Inquiry and the NGSS

- Cross Cutting Concepts
 1. Patterns
 2. Cause and effect
 3. Scale, proportion and quantity
 4. Systems and system models
 5. Energy and matter
 6. Structure and function
 7. Stability and change




Table 1. Framework for comparison review of the NGSS and MSB Grade Level Content Specifications for Science, K-12

NGSS	MSB
Science and Engineering Practices Asking questions and defining problems Developing and using models Planning and carrying out investigations Analyzing and interpreting data Using mathematics and computational thinking Constructing explanations and designing solutions Engaging in argument from evidence Obtaining, evaluating, and communicating information	Science Practices Inquiry Process Inquiry Analysis and Communication Reflection and Social Implications
Crosscutting Concepts Patterns Cause and effect Scale, proportion, and quantity Systems and system models Energy and matter Structure and function Stability and change	Science Concepts Earth Science Life Science Physical Science Science and Society Science and Technology Science and the Environment Science and the Future Science and the Past Science and the Present Science and the Future
Disciplinary Core Ideas Physical Science Life Science Earth and Space Science Engineering, Technology and Application of Science	Disciplinary Core Ideas Earth Science Life Science Physical Science Science and Society Science and Technology Science and the Environment Science and the Future Science and the Past Science and the Present Science and the Future



Scientists and Engineers Areas of Activity

A Framework for K-12 Science Education: Practices, Crosscutting Concepts, and Core Ideas




Scientific Method



Redrawn from "A Scientific Method Based on Research Scientist's Conception of Scientific Inquiry," R. Ball, W. S. Harwood, T. Phillips. Proceedings of the 2002 Annual International Conference of the Association for the Education of Teachers in Science.

Engineering Design



<https://www.teachengineering.org/engrdesignprocess.php>



Engineering? but I teach Science!

Table 3. Results of the NGSS and the MSS Grade Level Content Expectations for K-7 Content Comparison Analysis

Next Generation Science Standards	Michigan Science Standards for K-7	Degree of Match
Scientific and Engineering Practices	Science Processes	Low Match
NGSS Crosscutting Concepts	MSS Disciplines	Low Match
NGSS Disciplinary Core Ideas	MSS Disciplines	Moderate Match
Overall Degree of Match		Low to Moderate Match

The NGSS Scientific and Engineering Practices and MSS Disciplines of Science Processes were fairly similar in how they address science; however, only the NGSS include references to engineering, developing and using models, and using mathematics and computational thinking.

A Content Comparison Analysis of the Next Generation Science Standards and the Michigan Science Standards (Ziker, 2014, p 16).



Engineering the Future Academy Summer 2014

The Center for STEM Inquiry at Hope College

- Public support for STEM education
- Saturday programs
- Summer high school academies
- Teacher workshops
- Education student field placements
- Student leadership and training



Engineering The Future Academy Goals/Rationale

- **motivate students to learn math and science concepts** by illustrating relevant applications.
- **fosters problem-solving skills**, including problem formulation, iteration, and testing of alternative solutions.
- embraces project-based hands-on learning, and sharpen **abilities to function in three dimensions**




Engineering The Future Academy Goals/Rationale


- **increase students' awareness of and access to scientific and technical careers**—to consider engineering as a career, so that they enroll in the necessary science and math courses in high school.
- **Engineering and technological literacy are necessary for the 21st century.**



Approach


- Boston Museum of Science's *Engineering the Future, Unit 2 Sustainable Cities*
- Participants recruited from Muskegon Heights Public School and Holland New Tech High Schools
- Assessments focused on both knowledge of engineering and the design process and students' attitudes and beliefs





Susan Ipri Brown
Director, Center of STEM Inquiry, Hope College

[Video Link](#)



A Teacher's Perspective

- “The power of having a real world context that was centered in their community along with the hands on labs and activities created a strong level of engagement.”
- “When I think about the engineering context, I now feel like I have another way to think about my math content.”



A Pre-service Teacher's Perspective

- "I learned that different people are good at different things"
- "It was helpful to see how all the classroom teachers handled the students because they all did it differently. I also really liked the experience of designing lessons because that is a concrete thing I will have to do in my life."



The Student's Perspective



- ... I learned about the process of making a building from start to finish. Starting with looking at an empty lot, and looking at the area around it to find out what needs to be there and what isn't in the area around it. Then learning about urban sprawl and other population difficulties and figuring out the best materials for our building. Lastly we got to design the floor plans of our building and then presented our designs to an engineer...
- (9th grade, male Holland New Tech)



The Students' Perspective



...we had to build things with only a certain amount of objects. And we got to make concrete and it was fun...
(9th grade female, Muskegon Heights)



We did a lot of fun things that really make you think...
(9th grade male, Muskegon Heights)



The Student's Perspective



...we built buildings and designed buildings and that metal can stretch!
(9th grade male Muskegon Heights)

Engineering is about creating things, designing things, improving things and breaking things. Engineering is more than just designing, much more. (10th grade male, Holland New Tech)



The Student's Perspective



...I learned how to work better in a team and did engineering activities... (10th grade female, Holland New Tech)



Impact – Quantitative assessment

- Few students (13 of 33, 39%) chose to participate in our follow-up survey distributed several months after the summer academy
- Insufficient quantitative data to assess the effect of participation on student engagement in school

Patterns of Adaptive Learning Scales (Midgley, et al 2000)	Pre	Post	Norms
Academic Efficacy	4.03	4.27	4.15
Avoiding Novelty	2.52	2.42	2.46
Mastery Goal Orientation	4.44	4.23	2.40
Performance Approach Goal Orientation	3.35	3.18	4.20
Performance Avoidance Goal Orientation	3.67	3.27	2.92
Skepticism of the Relevance of School	2.21	2.01	1.95




HOPE COLLEGE


Retro City



8th Street Rec Center



Tulip City Resort





8th Street Outlet



Thank you!



Support NGSS for All Michigan Students



@Sci4MIKids

Have Your Photo Taken Today!
Look for the Volunteers with this Poster



Engineering and Technology Education Resources (1 of 4)

- A brief list of some of the curriculum programs and internet resources available.
- A starting point for you to explore options for getting your students involved in engineering activities

Elementary

- Engineering is Elementary <http://www.mos.org/eie/>
- Children's Engineering <http://www.childrensengineering.com/index.htm>
- Invention-Innovation-Inquiry: Units for Technological Literacy, Grades 5-6
<http://www.iteaconnect.org/i3/index.htm>
- Project Lead The Way Launch <https://www.pltw.org/our-programs/pltw-launch>
- Partnerships Implementing Engineering Education
<http://www.wpi.edu/Academics/PIEE/Resources/lessons.html>
- Curious George – PBS <http://www.pbs.org/parents/curiousgeorge/activities/>



Engineering and Technology Education Resources (2 of 4)

Middle School

- Building Math <http://walch.com/Building-Math-for-Common-Core-State-Standards-3-Book-Series.html>
- Project Lead the Way Gateway <https://www.pltw.org/our-programs/pltw-gateway>
- Learning by Design™ <http://www.cc.gatech.edu/projects/lbd/home.html>
- Fetch! – PBS <http://www.pbs.org/parents/fetch/index.html>



Engineering and Technology Education Resources (3 of 4)

High School

- Engineering the Future <http://www.mos.org/etf/>
- Engineering Projects In Community Service-learning (EPICS) – High School <http://epics-high.ecn.purdue.edu/>
- Project Lead the Way Engineering <https://www.pltw.org/our-programs/pltw-engineering>
- Design Squad PBS <http://pbskids.org/designsquad/parentseducators/index.html>
- Rube Goldberg Machine Contests <http://www.anl.gov/Careers/Education/rube/>



Engineering and Technology Education Resources (4 of 4)

More Information/Resources

- National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Technology and Engineering Literacy (TEL) Assessment <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/tel/moreabout.aspx#framework>
- American Society for Engineering Education <http://teachers.egfi-k12.org/>
- National Science Digital Library <https://nsdl.oercommons.org/>
- National Center for Technological Literacy <http://www.mos.org/nctl/>
- International Technology and Engineering Educators Association <http://www.iteaconnect.org/>
- Teacher's Domain-Engineering <http://www.teachersdomain.org/sci/engin/index.html>
- PBS Learning Media: Engineering Design http://www.pbslearningmedia.org/search/?q=&selected_facets=supplemental_curriculum_hierarchy_nodes%3A270&selected_facets=
- Engineering in K-12 Education: Understanding the Status and Improving the Prospects http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=12635
- NASA Endeavor Certificate in STEM Education <http://www.us-satellite.net/endeavor/index.cfm>



References

- Midgely, C., Maehr, M. L., Huda, L.A., Anderman, E., Freeman, K. E., Ghreen, M., . . . Urdan, T., (2000). *Manual for the patterns of adaptive learning scales*. Ann Arbor, MI: The University of Michigan.
- National Research Council. (2012). [*A Framework for K-12 Science Education: Practices, Crosscutting Concepts, and Core Ideas*](#). Committee on a Conceptual Framework for New K-12 Science Education Standards. Board on Science Education, Division of Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- R. Reiff, W. S. Harwood, T. Phillipson. "A scientific method based upon research scientists' conceptions of scientific inquiry." Proceedings of the 2002 Annual International Conference of the Association for the Education of Teachers in Science, eds. Peter A. Rubba, James A. Rye, Warren J. Di Biase, Barbara A. Crawford. ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED (465 602).
- Note: The second author, W. Harwood published a version of this model in the January 2004 issue of The Science Teacher. [An Activity Model for Scientific Inquiry](#), pp. 44 – 46.*
- Ziker, C. (2014). [*A Content Comparison Analysis of the Next Generation Science Standards and the Michigan Science Standards*](#). Menlo Park, CA: SRI International.

