### Hope College

### Hope College Digital Commons

23rd Annual A. Paul and Carol C. Schaap Celebration of Undergraduate Research and Creative Activity (2024)

The A. Paul and Carol C. Schaap Celebration of Undergraduate Research and Creative Activity

4-12-2024

### Examining the Use of Two Novel Recovery Techniques on Reaction Time

Jack Hensley Hope College

Dustin Keltsch *Hope College* 

Markus Roberts Hope College

Trevor Durfee *Hope College* 

Nolan Gardner *Hope College* 

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.hope.edu/curca\_23

Part of the Kinesiology Commons

### **Recommended Citation**

Repository citation: Hensley, Jack; Keltsch, Dustin; Roberts, Markus; Durfee, Trevor; and Gardner, Nolan, "Examining the Use of Two Novel Recovery Techniques on Reaction Time" (2024). 23rd Annual A. Paul and Carol C. Schaap Celebration of Undergraduate Research and Creative Activity (2024). Paper 36. https://digitalcommons.hope.edu/curca\_23/36

April 12, 2024. Copyright © 2024 Hope College, Holland, Michigan.

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the The A. Paul and Carol C. Schaap Celebration of Undergraduate Research and Creative Activity at Hope College Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in 23rd Annual A. Paul and Carol C. Schaap Celebration of Undergraduate Research and Creative Activity (2024) by an authorized administrator of Hope College Digital Commons. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@hope.edu, barneycj@hope.edu.

### **Examining The Use of Two Novel Recovery Techniques on Reaction Hope Hope** Time COLLEGE COLLEGE Jack Hensley, Dustin Keltsch, Markus Roberts, Nolan Gardner, Trevor Durfee

### Abstract

Box Breathing is a breathing technique in which a person inhales, holds, exhales, and holds, in four-second increments. It is believed to help improve an individual's focus. Purpose: This study was conducted to determine if Box Breathing would improve reaction time in lacrosse players after an exhaustive bout of exercise, compared to active recovery and passive recovery. Methods: Participants were familiarized with the components of the study, and then they returned on three separate days, approximately 2-10 days apart for three additional testing visits. Participants performed a 10-minute exhaustive bout of exercise on a cycle ergometer, then performed one of three recovery methods—passive recovery, active recovery, and Box Breathing—on separate days. Following the recovery bout, participants performed the ruler drop test to measure their reaction time. Nine participants (male n=6, female n=3) completed the study. Results: There were no significant differences in reaction time across conditions, passive recovery (359.6 ± 118.9 mm), active recovery  $(351.1 \pm 111.0 \text{ mm})$ , and Box Breathing  $(364.9 \pm 92.8 \text{ mm})$ . However, there were differences in the percentages of times the participants failed to catch the ruler (counted as "drops"). Specifically, Box Breathing resulted in the highest (28.9%) number of drops whereas active recovery resulted in the fewest (10%). Conclusions: Box Breathing does not appear to improve reaction time following an exhaustive bout of exercise when compared to traditional recovery techniques.

## Background

Reaction time can be defined as the time it takes to initiate a behavioral response after the presentation of a sensory stimulus

Reaction time has been tested in various ways. One of the most popular is the ruler drop test

Prior studies have shown that guided breathwork pre and post exercise had positive effects on concentration, focus, and heart rate

The Box breathing technique has been used by Navy Seals in high stress situations across generations and involves a series of 4second breath exhales and inhales.

We believe that improvements in reaction time would benefit lacrosse performance and that Box Breathing could potentially improve reaction time.

### Purpose

To determine if Box Breathing would improve reaction time in lacrosse players after an exhaustive bout of exercise, compared to traditional active recovery and passive recovery techniques.





Figure 1. Hope College lacrosse athletes

Faculty Mentor: Dr. Brian Rider

# METHODS



# Week 1

Familiarization

Participants completed necessary consent and health history forms.

Participants were familiarized with the recovery methods (active, passive, Box Breathing)

Participants completed a submaximal cycle test to determine 60% and 80% of their heart rate max.

Participants practiced the ruler drop test

## Week 2

Participants completed 3 testing sessions (at least 48 hours between sessions)

Participants completed a 10-minute exhaustive cycling protocol

Following the cycling protocol participants engaged in one of three recovery methods • Placebo

- Active Recovery
- Box Breathing



breathing.



Following the recovery method they completed 10 trials of ruler drop test

Table 1. Participant Characteristics reported as means and standard deviation

	Height (cm)
Males (n=6)	181.5
	8.5
Females (n=3)	169
	3.0



Repeated Measures ANOVA Paired T-Tests for between device comparisons with a post hoc Bonferroni correction

# Results

- There were no difference in reaction time across recovery conditions (Figure 4)
- Participants dropped more rulers following box breathing compared to other recovery conditions (Figure 6)

It is unclear why participants dropped more rulers following box